



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

A DELICA TIONANO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILINO DATE		110001540 (4060)	9392	
09/752,658	12/28/2000	Henry Johnson	H0001540 (4960)		
75	90 04/26/2002				
			EXAMINER		
Honeywell International Inc.			GRAYBILL, DAVID E		
Law Dept. AB2					
P.O. Box 2245					
101 Colombia Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Morristown, NJ 07962			2827		
			DATE MAILED: 04/26/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)		
		09/752,658	09/752,658 JOHNSON, HENRY			
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		David E Graybill		2827		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
Period for	Reply					
THE M - Extensi after SI - If the pi - If NO p - Failure - Any res	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stoly received by the Office later than three months after the maximum adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, howe reply within the statutory min riod will apply and will expire statute.	ver, may a reply be tin imum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	08 March 2002				
2a) 🗌		This action is non-fi				
3)	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un	lowance except for fo der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,	ormal matters, p 1935 C.D. 11,	rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.		
-	on of Claims	-4: o m				
•	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applica		ation			
	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	iarawn from consider	auon.			
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ti - de alien ne muine				
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election require	ement.			
	on Papers	miner				
	The specification is objected to by the Exar The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ a		ted to by the Exa	aminer.		
10)[  1	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be he	eld in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
44\[] 7	The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a) approv	ed b)⊡ disappı	roved by the Examiner.		
11)[	If approved, corrected drawings are required					
12)[] ]	The oath or declaration is objected to by th					
, —	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).		
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
a)ر	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority documents h al Bureau (PCT Rule	nave been recei 17.2(a)).	ved in this National Stage		
	See the attached detailed Office action for Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do					
a 15)∏ <i>i</i>	)  The translation of the foreign language  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority under	35 U.S.C. §§ 12	20 and/or 121.		
Attachmen		, <b>г</b>	7	on/ (DTO 113) Daner No(e)		
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	4) L 48) 5) C No(s) 6) C		ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)		

-Application/Control Number: 09/752,658

Art Unit: 2827

Applicant's election without traverse of group I, claims 1-12 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rostoker (5801432).

At column 41, line 59 to column 42, line 5, Rostoker teaches a single layer copper reference plane and a first and second copper signal layer ["conductive trace patterns"], an FR4 core material and a BT bond-ply material ["layers of insulating material"], and a micro via. In addition, Rostoker teaches the claimed structure as "alternating layers of insulating material and conductive trace patterns."

Although Rostoker does not appear to teach literally the labels "reference plane," "signal layer," and, "core material," these labels appear to merely indicate intended use. As such, the statement of intended use does not result in a structural difference between the claimed product and the product of Rostoker. Further, because the product of Rostoker is

Application/Control Number: 09/752,658

Art Unit: 2827

inherently capable of being used for the intended use, the statement of intended use does not patentably distinguish the claimed product from the product of Rostoker. Similarly, the manner in which a product operates is not germane to the issue of patentability of the product; Ex parte Wikdahl 10 USPQ 2d 1546, 1548 (BPAI 1989); Ex parte McCullough 7 USPQ 2d 1889, 1891 (BPAI 1988); In re Finsterwalder 168 USPQ 530 (CCPA 1971); In re Casey 152 USPQ 235, 238 (CCPA 1967). Also, claims directed to product must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. In re Danley, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959). "Apparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does." Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Any telephone inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status (MPEP 203.08) of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

Any telephone inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David E. Graybill at (703) 308-2947. Regular office hours: Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

The fax phone number for group 2800 is 703/305-3431.

David E. Graybill Primary Examiner Art Unit 2827

Page 4

Art Unit: 2827

D.G.

22-Apr-02